

**ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT –
Addendum, March 2026.**

**NUNEHAM SOLAR FARM – LAND WEST OF A4074, TO THE
NORTH-WEST OF NUNEHAM COURTENAY, SOUTH
OXFORDSHIRE.**

On behalf of RES Ltd.

Date: 20/03/2026 | Pegasus Ref: P21-2947



Contents.

- **Main Report**
- **Figures**
 - Revised 3m Panel Height Drawing (Drawing Number O4531-RES-SOL-DR-PT-001 Rev 3 Sheets 1 & 2)
 - Updated version of Environmental Statement Figure 3.1 – ‘Environmental Statement Infrastructure Layout’ (Drawing Number O4531-RES-LAY-DR-PT-006 Rev 4)
 - Updated version of Figure 6.6 – Landscape Masterplan (Drawing Number P21-2947_EN_101_S1 Rev L)
- **Appendices**
 - Updated version of Appendix 6.2 – Photographic Record (now replaced with updated version dated January 2026)
 - Updated version of Appendix 6.3 – Photomontages (now replaced with updated version dated January 2026)
 - Updated version of Appendix 7.2 – Biodiversity Net Gain Report (replaced by Biodiversity Net Gains Report, January 2026)

Introduction

1. This document comprises an Addendum to the Environmental Statement, dated April 2024, which was prepared to accompany a planning application by RES Ltd (the “Applicant”) in relation to Land west of the A4074, to the north-west of Nuneham Courtenay, South Oxfordshire (the “Application Site”), as follows:

CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A SOLAR FARM WITH ALL ASSOCIATED WORKS, EQUIPMENT, NECESSARY INFRASTRUCTURE AND BIODIVERSITY NET GAINS – KNOWN AS “NUNEHAM SOLAR FARM”

2. The Addendum should be read in conjunction with the Environmental Statement which it serves to supplement. This Addendum constitutes other information as defined under Regulation 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017. The Application has prepared this document to address representations received from the LPA and Statutory Consultees. New significant environmental effects are not introduced by the proposals.
3. The Addendum has been prepared following changes which have been made to the Proposed Development. These changes are described further subsequently in this Addendum.
4. There are no changes however to the extent of the Application Site as shown on Figure 1.1 of the Environmental Statement or to the extent of the site boundary considered for the purpose of the Environmental Statement which also encompasses the likely area required for the grid connection, as shown on Figure 1.2 of the Environmental Statement. The grid connection works are excluded from the planning application boundary because they will be undertaken solely by the Distribution Network Operator under separate statutory powers. They are included within the EIA in accordance with Regulation 18(3)(b) as associated development likely to give rise to indirect effects.
5. In the intervening period since the application was first submitted to the Council the applicant has received notification that it has qualified for a Gate 2, Phase 1 connection offer. This offer will be likely to reflect that which is currently in force with Scottish and Southern Energy Networks (SSEN) (the location Distribution Network Operator (DNO)) and will include works to complete connection to the grid through overhead lines and joining an existing pylon located to the northwest of the Application Site, at a voltage of 132kV. While the final details of the grid connection will be determined by SSEN at a later date and subject to a separate consenting process, the application has consulted upon, assessed and addressed the landscape, ecology, archaeological and agricultural impacts of the current offer as set out within this Addendum and accompanying updated Figures and Appendices.
6. No new or increased significant environmental effects arise from the amendments to the application. The revisions constitute an overall reduction in environmental impact, including a reduction of harm to archaeological receptors.

7. The changes to the Proposed Development reduce environment effects, enhance compliance with local and national policy, and improve the balance of considerations in favour of the scheme. This Addendum is structured such that it provides updates in relation to each of the technical chapters which were included in the Environmental Statement (Landscape and Visual Effects, Archaeology & Cultural Heritage, Ecology and Agricultural Land).
8. The same consultant team who prepared the Environmental Statement, as set out in paragraph 1.3.7 of the Environmental Statement, have been involved with the production of this Addendum.

Availability and Comments

9. This Addendum should be made available by South Oxfordshire District Council for public viewing during normal office hours. For details of where it can be viewed and the times it is available will be available from the Council's Planning Department, who can be contacted by telephone on 01235 422 422 or email at enquiries@southoxon.gov.uk
10. The Addendum may also be available via the Council's website, and comments can be left electronically: <https://www.southoxon.gov.uk/south-oxfordshire-district-council/planning-anddevelopment/comment-on-planning-applications>
11. Alternatively, the Addendum may be purchased, the costs for which are set out below:
 - Printed copy £75
 - Digital copy on a memory stick - £10
12. For copies of any of the above please contact Pegasus Group (quoting reference P21-2947) at the following address:

Pegasus Group
5th Floor (East)
Capitol
Russell Street
Leeds
LS1 5SP
Telephone: 0113 287 8200
Email: Leeds@pegasusgroup.co.uk

Revisions to the Proposed Development

13. Chapter 3 of the Environmental Statement describes the Site and the Proposed Development. This section of the Addendum sets out the changes to the Proposed Development. These changes have resulted from consultation with key consultees associated with the planning application since it was submitted in April 2024.

14. Following correspondence with officers during the most recent consultation period of the revised submission, the applicant was asked to consider whether there was a possibility that the substation could be relocated within the red line boundary so that it was located outside of the area of archaeological potential. Following a review of options, a meeting was held with Officers from South Oxfordshire Council and Oxfordshire County Council to discuss the scheme on 19th August 2025.
15. In this meeting the revised location was proposed, discussed and agreed. As such, the following changes have been made to the layout:
 - **Relocation of Substation Building** – the substation has been relocated within the site to an area of lesser archaeological potential. This has been accepted by the County Archaeologist and Conservation Officer informally prior to submission. The area in which the substation was previously sited will be covered by panels and a no dig approach to construction maintained. Works associated with the substation are provided on the submitted plans, the substation itself has not increased in area of the site covered.
 - **Reduction in panel height** – the panel height as currently submitted included for a maximum height of the panels to 3.6m (as shown on Figure 8 – 04531-RES-SOL-DR-PT-001 Rev 2 Sheets 1 & 2). As part of the revised scheme the panels have reduced in height to a maximum of 3m across the site. Drawing Number 04531-RES-SOL-DR-PT-001 Rev 3 Sheets 1 & 2 provides these revised details.
16. The original solar farm proposals were shown on Environmental Statement Figure 3.1 – ‘Environmental Statement Infrastructure Layout’. This plan has now been superseded by an updated version which is included with this Addendum (Drawing Number 04531-RES-LAY-DR-PT-006 Rev 4).

Landscape and Visual Effects

17. This section of the Addendum considers whether there would be any change to the findings of Chapter 6 - Landscape and Visual Effects, of the Environmental Statement, as a result of the revisions to the Proposed Development. The section is supported by updated versions of the following elements of the Environmental Statement:
 - Figure 6.6 – Landscape Masterplan (now replaced with Drawing Number P21-2947_EN_101_S1 Rev L)
 - Appendix 6.2 – Photographic Record (now replaced with updated version dated January 2026)
 - Appendix 6.3 – Photomontages (now replaced with updated version dated January 2026)
18. The Applicant has been in ongoing dialogue with South Oxfordshire District Council regarding the potential landscape and visual effects of the Proposed Development both prior to and since the planning application submission. This has resulted in various additions to the proposed landscape mitigation illustrated on the updated version of

Figure 6.6 – Landscape Masterplan, which are designed to further reduce and mitigate effects. In particular this includes for additional trees and new areas of native shrub planting, both around the revised substation location and to the western boundaries of the Site, to further supplement the notable network of additional hedgerows and trees which has already been proposed.

19. The revised location of the substation is illustrated in the updated Photographic Record. In broad terms the relocation of the substation within the Site does not make a major difference with regard to landscape and visual effects. The new location would however now be located further away from the public right of way which passes through the Site. In addition, further planting is now proposed in the vicinity of the substation, when compared to the previous location, which would serve to further reduce effects.
20. The reduction in panel height from 3.6m to 3m would also ensure that the panels would be screened to a greater degree by both the existing vegetation in the landscape and the proposed new planting. This is illustrated in the updated Photomontages.
21. Overall however, there would be no change to the localised significant effects which were identified in the Environmental Statement.
22. As noted in the Environmental Statement, the total extent of the landscape and visual effects would be localised and limited in nature, and on balance, the Proposed Development can be accommodated without undue harm to the character and visual amenity of the landscape. This conclusion now being further reinforced by the additional mitigation planting now provided and the reduction in panel height from 3.6m to 3m.

Ecology

23. This section of the Addendum considers whether there would be any change to the findings of Chapter 7 – Ecology (Biodiversity), of the Environmental Statement, as a result of the revisions to the Proposed Development.
24. The section is supported by updated versions of the following elements of the Environmental Statement:
 - Appendix 7.2 – Biodiversity Net Gain Report (replaced by Biodiversity Net Gains Report, January 2026)
25. The project ecologists have confirmed that the changes to the Proposed Development would not alter any of the conclusions of Environmental Statement Chapter 7, which remains extant other than with regard to Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG), where the new Report, based on the updated Landscape Masterplan, confirms a slight increase in BNG habitat and hedgerow units.

26. The conclusions would therefore remain that with the successful implementation of the mitigation measures described in Environmental Statement Chapter 7, any adverse effects upon the important ecological features identified will be reduced to a nonsignificant level.
27. The creation of new habitats of greater biodiversity value than the current habitats within the site and the implementation of the Landscape and Ecological Masterplan (LEMP) present the opportunity to enhance the biodiversity value of the area. As such, it is anticipated that during the operational phase the development will result in a moderate beneficial enhancement due to the change of use from agricultural land to a more biodiverse grassland with enhanced hedgerow network.

Archaeology & Cultural Heritage

28. This section of the Addendum considers whether there would be any change to the findings of Chapter 8 - Archaeology & Cultural Heritage, of the Environmental Statement, as a result of the revisions to the Proposed Development.
29. The changes in layout have been undertaken in response to ongoing consultation with South Oxfordshire District Council regarding the archaeological resource within the Site and in particular, in the northwestern portion of the Site. Concern was expressed regarding the potential below-ground impact on archaeology arising from the location of the sub-station which could not be mitigated in any way other than through archaeological fieldwork which would result in the removal of those archaeological deposits, albeit as part of a managed archaeological process which would have recorded and disseminated the results of such mitigation.
30. The methodology utilised here to assess the harm from the amended scheme is the same as utilised within the Archaeology and Cultural Heritage ES Chapter 8 and set out at paragraphs 8.2.17 – 8.2.26 of that Chapter.
31. In order to assess the Amended Scheme, a number of new visualisations have been produced (Appendix 6.3) along with a new Landscape Masterplan (Figure 6.6).
32. For the purposes of this assessment, the most significant change in the layout is the relocation of the substation from the northwestern corner of the Site to a more central position within the Scheme and an increased amount of landscaping around the vicinity to reduce visual effects (see the Landscape Masterplan - Figure 6.3).
33. Within the area previously occupied by the substation, panels now occupy this space and all panels in this area will be on 'no-dig' foundations and the area will be subject to 'no-dig' methods of construction. Cables will be clipped beneath the panels and access roads will be above-ground, laid on geotextile. Movement of construction vehicles will be

- restricted to lighter vehicles and the areas of no-dig will not be traversed by vehicles in particularly wet conditions to avoid creating ruts in the surface.
34. The changes to the layout also comprise the reduction in height of the panels across the entire Scheme from 3.6m to 3m.
 35. The updated visualisations, in particular updated Viewpoint 15 within Appendix 6.3, show that the movement of the substation to a more central position in the Site has made no material difference to the views from VP15 which is the view from the Grade II* listed All Saints Church which is located within the Grade I Nuneham Registered Park and Garden and the Nuneham Conservation Area. The change in the view could be characterised as barely perceptible.
 36. As such, the conclusions reached with regards to these assets within Chapter 8 of the original ES and within the Further Heritage Addendum of January 2025 remain unchanged for all phases of the Scheme (construction, operation and decommissioning).
 37. Similarly, the amended layout would not result in any change to the assessment of the Grade II Lower Farmhouse as set out within the original ES Chapter 8.
 38. The original ES identified that there would be impacts to the significance of the Scheduled Monument of Romano-British pottery site, prehistoric ring-ditches and enclosures, including medieval ridge and furrow, Lower Farm, Nuneham Courtenay (1470853) during the construction period as a result of removal of archaeological deposits within the Site which form part of the significance of the asset. It should be noted these archaeological deposits are not within the footprint of the Scheduled Monument and therefore this does not raise any issues of scheduled monument consent. The archaeological deposits were identified through a programme of geophysical survey and verified through extensive trial trenching. The trial trenching identified ditches forming enclosures, trackways, and fields, which were largely focussed in the north of the site, likely representing outlying enclosures associated with the scheduled pottery production centre to the north, potentially for grazing or cultivation. The harm was identified as less than substantial at the low end of the scale and not significant, however it should be noted that the harm to this asset during the construction period also arose from the noise and activity of the construction of the Scheme for the period of 8-12 months whilst the Scheme is built-out.
 39. The original ES also identified harm arising from the construction period of the scheme which would cause the removal of the non-designated archaeological deposits discussed above in the northern portion of the Site. This harm was identified as moderate to high, not significant.
 40. The harm identified in the original ES to the archaeological deposits identified above arose from the physical impact arising from the construction of the substation within the northern portion of the Site. The substation was unable to be mitigated through ‘no-dig’



foundations and some below-ground impact unavoidable, albeit this would have been limited in its extent to those areas of the substation where below-ground impact below 300mm depth would be required.

41. The amended scheme relocates the substation southeast, outside of the area of archaeological sensitivity as identified within the trial trenching. As such, it is the case that the harms identified within the original ES to the archaeological deposits will no longer occur and therefore the moderate to high level of harm is no longer valid.
42. The harm to the scheduled Romano-British pottery site would reduce from less than substantial at the low end of the scale during the construction period to less than substantial harm at the lowest end of the scale, with this remaining level of harm arising from the impact from the construction noise and activity during the construction period as set out within the original ES. This is a reduction in the level of harm set out within the original ES and is a result of archaeological deposits associated with the asset no longer being impacted by the Scheme.
43. The amended scheme would not give rise to any additional harms as assessed from the original ES. The amended scheme would result in the removal of an identified harm to the non-designated archaeological deposits in the northern portion of the Scheme and a reduction in harm to a designated asset: the scheduled Romano-British pottery site. The conclusion can be drawn therefore that the Amended Scheme represents a betterment in terms of heritage harm.
44. As with the original ES assessment, no significant effects are identified arising from the amended scheme.

Agricultural Land

45. This section of the Addendum considers whether there would be any change to the findings of Chapter 9 – Agricultural Land, of the Environmental Statement, as a result of the revisions to the Proposed Development.
46. As set out in Environmental Statement Chapter 9, an Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) survey of the site was undertaken by Soil Environment Services Limited in October 2022. The ALC survey identified the presence of Grade 2, 3a and 3b land across the earlier site boundary. This information was used to help inform the current planning application site boundary of approximately 57ha, which is made up of approximately 1 hectare of Grade 2, 1 hectare of Grade 3a and the remaining 55 hectares of Grade 3b. This mitigation prevented any loss of Grade 2 or 3a land that might otherwise have resulted in significant impacts.

47. The revisions to the Proposed Development would not alter the findings with regard to impacts to agricultural land as the revised substation location and the original substation location are both in the same Grade 3b agricultural land, as illustrated on Environmental Statement Figure 9.1.



NOTES

1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE SUBJECT TO DETAILED DESIGN.
2. GROUND CLEARANCE WILL VARY AS REQUIRED ACCORDING TO TOPOGRAPHY AND MODULE CONFIGURATION.
3. THE PILE DEPTH SHALL BE DETERMINED FOLLOWING DETAILED GEOTECHNICAL SITE INVESTIGATION AND WILL TYPICALLY BE 1.5 - 1.7m. HOWEVER THE MAXIMUM DEPTH COULD BE UPTO 2.4m.
4. PV MODULES ARE SHOWN IN ELEVATION VIEW INSTALLED IN PORTRAIT, ALTHOUGH THEY MAY BE INSTALLED IN LANDSCAPE TO SUIT SITE CONDITIONS.

PANELS WITH PILED FOUNDATION

SHEET 1 OF 2

3	FG	JN	BD	2026-01-16	Max height, tilt angle amended
2	FG	IB	BD	2024-01-23	Minor update (PV image)
1	FG	IB	BD	2023-06-02	First Issue

ISSUE	DRAWN	CHKD	APPD	DATE	REVISION NOTES
-------	-------	------	------	------	----------------

PURPOSE		PROJECTION	
PERMITTING		DATUM	
SCALE	1:100 @A3	N/A	
LAYOUT DRAWING	N/A	T-LAYOUT NO	
		N/A	

PROJECT TITLE
NUNEHAM SOLAR FARM



DRAWING TITLE
FIGURE 8 TYPICAL PV MODULE AND RACK DETAILS

RES DRAWING NUMBER	04531-RES-SOL-DR-PT-001	REV	3
--------------------	-------------------------	-----	---

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS LIMITED AND NO REPRODUCTION MAY BE MADE IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT PERMISSION



BEAUFORT COURT,
EGG FARM LANE,
KINGS LANGLEY,
HERTS WD4 8LR, UK
TEL +44 (0) 1923 299200
WWW.RES-GROUP.COM



NOTES

1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE SUBJECT TO DETAILED DESIGN.
2. GROUND CLEARANCE WILL VARY AS REQUIRED ACCORDING TO TOPOGRAPHY AND MODULE CONFIGURATION.
3. PV MODULES ARE SHOWN IN ELEVATION VIEW INSTALLED IN PORTRAIT, ALTHOUGH THEY MAY BE INSTALLED IN LANDSCAPE TO SUIT SITE CONDITIONS.
4. SUPPORT FRAME IS CONNECTED DIRECTLY TO THE BALLASTED FOUNDATION.

PANELS WITH BALLASTED FOUNDATION

SHEET 2 OF 2

3	FG	JN	BD	2026-01-16	Max height, tilt angle amended
2	FG	IB	BD	2024-01-23	Minor update (PV image)
1	FG	IB	BD	2023-06-02	First Issue

ISSUE	DRAWN	CHKD	APPD	DATE	REVISION NOTES
-------	-------	------	------	------	----------------

PURPOSE		PROJECTION	
PERMITTING			
SCALE	1:100 @A3	DATUM	N/A
LAYOUT DRAWING	N/A	T-LAYOUT NO	N/A

PROJECT TITLE
NUNEHAM SOLAR FARM


DRAWING TITLE
**FIGURE 8
TYPICAL PV MODULE AND
RACK DETAILS**

RES DRAWING NUMBER	04531-RES-SOL-DR-PT-001	REV	3
--------------------	-------------------------	-----	---

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS LIMITED AND NO REPRODUCTION MAY BE MADE IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT PERMISSION



BEAUFORT COURT,
EGG FARM LANE,
KINGS LANGLEY,
HERTS WD4 8LR, UK
TEL +44 (0) 1923 299200
WWW.RES-GROUP.COM



© CROWN COPYRIGHT, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
2025 LICENCE NUMBER AC0000808122.

- KEY:**
- ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT BOUNDARY (OUTSIDE EDGE OF LINE DENOTES BOUNDARY)
 - PROPOSED ACCESS TRACK
 - TEMPORARY ACCESS TRACK
 - PROPOSED HOLDING & MANEUVERING PLACE
 - PUBLIC RIGHT OF WAY DEFINITIVE MAP (PRoW)
 - PERMISSIVE PATH
 - INDICATIVE SOLAR PV ARRAY
 - INVERTER
 - INVERTER HARDSTAND
 - SUBSTATION COMPOUND
 - TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION COMPOUND
 - FENCE LINE
 - GATE (FENCE)
 - ✱ WATERCOURSE CROSSING
 - ✱ TEMPORARY WATERCOURSE CROSSING
 - ✱ EXISTING HEDGEROW GAP TO BE ENLARGED
 - ✱ PRoW CROSSING POINT
 - ✱ UPDATE PRoW ACCESS
 - ✱ INDICATIVE HERITAGE INTERPRETATION BOARD
 - ▶ CCTV
 - INDICATIVE UGC ROUTE TO SUB COMPOUND
 - PROPOSED STORM WATER SEWER
 - INDICATIVE SUB-COMPONENT (SSE)
 - EXISTING TOWER TO BE DECOMMISSIONED
 - INDICATIVE REPLACEMENT TOWER
 - INITIAL NO-DIG AREA (3.14ac)
 - EXTENDED NO-DIG AREA (6.65 + 0.55 + 0.43ac)
 - PROPOSED MITIGATION PLANTING [1]
 - PROPOSED ATTENUATION CRATE [2]

- REFERENCES:**
- [1] ADDITIONAL PLANTING WILL BE INTRODUCED ACROSS THE SITE, FURTHER DETAILS ON LANDSCAPE MASTER PLAN (REV. L)
 - [2] ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON DRAINAGE STRATEGY DRAWING (REV. P7)

4	FG	BD	BD	2026-01-26	Substation location updated
3	FG	BD	EB	2024-03-11	Minor amendments (Indicative heritage added, key update)
2	FG	JM	BD	2024-03-04	Minor amendments (OHL constraint buffer update)
1	FG	JM	BD	2024-01-18	First Issue
ISSUE DRAWN	CHKD	APPD	DATE	REVISION NOTES	

PURPOSE	COORDINATES
PERMITTING	OSGB 1936
SCALE	DATUM
1:5,000 @A3	N/A
LAYOUT DRAWING	T-LAYOUT NO
N/A	N/A

PROJECT TITLE


NUNEHAM SOLAR FARM

DRAWING TITLE

FIGURE 15 ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE LAYOUT

RES DRAWING NUMBER	REV
04531-RES-LAY-DR-PT-006	4


THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS LIMITED AND NO REPRODUCTION MAY BE MADE IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITHOUT PERMISSION



res

BEAUFORT COURT,
EGG FARM LANE,
KINGS LANGLEY,
HERTS WD4 8LR, UK
TEL +44 (0) 1923 299200
WWW.RES-GROUP.COM

All Intellectual Property Rights (including but not limited to copyright) subsisting in or arising out of this drawing and the building works depicted are owned by Pegasus Planning Group Ltd (Pegasus Group) and may not be copied, adapted, disseminated, reproduced or otherwise used without a written licence. Pegasus Group accepts no liability for any use of this drawing other than for its original purpose and by the original client, except in circumstances where approval for use has been provided by Pegasus Group in writing. Use only written dimensions. Drawing prepared for planning application purposes only. Do not scale (or use) for construction, sales or any other purpose. Any queries to be reported to Pegasus Planning Group Limited [2023]. Use of this drawing is subject to the Terms & Conditions available at pegasusgroup.co.uk



Site boundaries to the west to be strengthened by lengths of proposed native mixed hedgerow, native mixed shrubs and trees in order to screen views from Thames Path to the west.

Sparse, gappy hedgerows within and around the site to be infilled with lengths of native mixed hedgerow planting, along with proposed native hedgerow trees wherever suitable.

Proposed native mixed hedgerow either side of the public right of way, to be maintained at 4m high, screens views towards the proposals.

Indicative lengths of existing forward planting shown along the eastern boundary. This recent planting is to be supplemented if necessary by an additional, proposed native mixed hedgerow and native shrub planting all along the boundary to the west of forward planting as well as an assortment of larger native tree species to strengthen the eastern boundary and enhance the screening potential of existing vegetation.

Proposed native mixed hedgerow, native shrub planting and scattered tree planting continues length of existing mature vegetation to the south-west.

New, strong vegetated boundaries created to integrate proposals in southern fields into the surrounding landscape, hedgerows to be maintained at 4m high.

KEY

EXISTING

- Environmental Statement Boundary
- Existing vegetation
- Root protection areas
- Existing boundary planting
- Overhead line landscape buffer
- Gas pipeline
- Public rights of way (definitive map)
- Permissive footpath

PROPOSED

- Native tree planting
- Native hedgerow planting
- Tussock / wild flower grassland - Emorsgate EM2 Standard General Purpose Mix or similar approved (outside the security fence)
- Grazing / wild flower grassland - Emorsgate EG27 Special Old Fashioned Grazing Mix or similar approved (within the security fence)
- Native shrub planting*
- Fencing
- Indicative Heritage Interpretation Board
- Proposed stile

Indicative plant list

PLANT SPECIES	SIZE	%
NATIVE TREES		
Alnus glutinosa (Alder)	14-16cm girth, 400-450 cm ht, RB, 3x, large feathered, 7 breaks	15
Betula pendula (Silver Birch)	14-16cm girth, 400-450 cm ht, RB, 3x, large feathered, 7 breaks	15
Betula pubescens (Downy Birch)	14-16cm girth, 400-450 cm ht, RB, 3x, large feathered, 7 breaks	15
Carpinus betulus (Hornbeam)	12-14cm girth, 350-425 cm ht, RB, 3x, large feathered, 7 breaks	10
Quercus robur (English Oak)	14-16cm girth, 400-450 cm ht, RB, 3x, large feathered, 7 breaks	25
Sorbus aucuparia (Rowan)	12-14cm girth, 350-425 cm ht, RB, 3x, heavy standard, cs 175-200cm, 5 breaks	10
Salix fragilis (Crack Willow)	12-14cm girth, 350-425 cm ht, RB, 3x, heavy standard, cs 175-200cm, 5 breaks	10
NATIVE MIXED HEDGEROW (Planted at 5/lin m double staggered row)		
PLANT SPECIES	SIZE	%
Acer campestre (Field Maple)	40-60cm ht, B, 1+1, transplant	10
Corylus avellana (Hazel)	40-60cm ht, B, 1+1, branched, 2 breaks	20
Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	40-60cm ht, B, 1+1, transplant	30
Ilex aquifolium (Holly)	40-60cm ht, 2Lt, leader with laterals	5
Malus sylvestris (Crab Apple)	40-60cm ht, B, 1+1, transplant	2.5
Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn)	40-60cm ht, B, 1+1, branched, 2 breaks	10
Rosa canina (Dog Rose)	40-60cm ht, 2Lt, branched, 3 breaks	2.5
Salix caprea (Goat Willow)	40-60cm ht, 2Lt, cutting, branched, 3 breaks	15
Viburnum opulus (Guelder Rose)	40-60cm ht, B, 1+1, branched, 2 breaks	5
NATIVE SHRUB MIX (Planted at 1.5 m centres)		
PLANT SPECIES	SIZE	%
Cornus sanguinea (Dogwood)	60-80cm, B, 1+1, transplant, seed-raised, branched, 3 breaks	15
Corylus avellana (Hazel)	B, 60-80cm ht, 1+2, branched, 3 breaks	25
Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)	60-80cm ht, B, 1+1	25
Ilex aquifolium (Holly)	3L, 60-80cm ht, leader with laterals	5
Prunus spinosa (Blackthorn)	60-80cm, B, 1+1, transplant, seed-raised, branched, 2 breaks	15
Rosa canina (Dog rose)	60-80cm, B, 1+1, transplant, seed-raised, branched, 3 breaks	5
Viburnum opulus (Guelder rose)	B, 60-80cm ht, 1+2, transplant, branched, 3 breaks	10

*Additional native shrub species will be introduced across the site and planting will be carried out in accordance with best practice for soil preparation and species selection, ensuring resilience and long-term growth, as well as providing further filtering of views from the wider landscape.

Due to spatial constraints in certain areas, shrubs will be planted at a minimum width of 1 metre. This reduced spacing is necessary where available planting zones are limited, while still allowing sufficient room for healthy establishment and maintenance.

Rev	Date	By	Note
L	16/01/26	KCH	Minor amends to track
K	06/01/26	KCH	Minor amends
J	15/12/25	KCH	Minor amends
I	11/12/25	KCH	Minor amends to planting
H	24/11/25	KCH	New layout and amends to planting
G	21/10/24	VR	Minor amends to planting
F	05/04/24	KCH	Amends to plant schedule
E	25/03/24	KCH	Minor amends
D	21/03/24	KCH	Minor amends
C	14/03/24	KCH	New layout and amends
B	13/02/24	KCH	Minor amends
A	01/02/24	KCH	New layout
-	10/03/23	VR	Original drawing

